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“Urban systems based on human settlements of 50,000 or 250,000 may be able to accommodate urban populations of 1 million, but they begin to break down at 4 million and are blatantly unworkable at 10 million. What is needed is a management capability more sophisticated and sensitive than anything developed to date” (Perlman, 1993).

Abstract
Generally, the phenomena of institutional urban management weakness in less developed countries (LCDs) and correlation with macro economic context have been addressed in many literatures and researches (Brusi 1990, Cheema 1993, Hardoy 1999). Fewer attempts, however, were made to investigate the problem’s political contributory framework taking into account social and cultural aspects as well. This paper is concerned with investigating the impact of particular nature of political ideology, mechanisms, and structure anatomy on the institutional urban management capacities, especially transactional and operational controls activities in developing countries. The paper will briefly explain the basic framework of the urban management system in big cities of developing countries as they relate to the Egyptian context. A situational analysis of Egyptian framework gives some general evaluation and discusses implications. The theoretical underpinning will be implicated in a guidance for enhancing institutional urban management capacities in these developing countries.
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Coping with Over Urbanization Problems in LDC's:
High rate of urban growth in less developed countries (LDCs) over the last four decades has led to many negative consequences: an increasing incidence of urban poverty and inequity, poor access to housing and such basic urban services as primary health care, sanitation, and water supply, the proliferation of slums and squatting on the peripheries and in old formal urban fabrics of the cities, and urban environmental degradation. The World Bank estimates that in 2002 about 90 percent of the total households in Latin America, 40 percent of those in Africa, and 45 percent in Asia are living in urban areas. Moreover, a growing proportion of residents of large cities are poor and powerless. (World Bank 2000).

On the other hand, the acuteness of these problems were amplified by the existing weakness of institutional urban-management capacities of LDC's governments particularly at the local levels. The provision of urban land and basic urban infrastructures and services, and the management of day-to-day transactional and operational urban jobs by different levels of governments could not keep pace with the rapid increase in the urban population. Owing to the speed with which urbanization has been taking place in these countries, governments are overwhelmed by the immense urbanization problems that consume a great portion of their efforts and attention. Policies adopted by these countries to alleviate the pressure resulted from untempered urbanization were growth control measures, and other caps methods, mainly to slow rates of rural-to-urban migration and to diffuse urban population rather than expanding their capacities to effectively manage incremental demand of urban services and solve urbanization escalating problems. The result is more failure indicators: deteriorated and obsolete existing utilities, low levels of maintenance, shortcomings and substantial lagging in providing services, and poor crisis-management by localities and agencies. Unjustifiable overspending, insignificant cost recovery and cost effectiveness, and poor utilization of usually-limited available resources are also other symptoms of these policies failure.

Urban Management System Capacity:
Many recent studies distinguishes urban growth management as an alternative policy approach that may be adopted instead of the evidently unsuccessful growth-control measures. They argue that better access to services and affordability of housing can be achieved through effective management of urban activities. (Mohsen 2002) On the contrary, many
others suggest convincingly that housing policies, growth control
measures, and mechanisms of infrastructures and services provision are
the aggregate components of urban management process. While it is
evident now that current adopted policies and structures have met little
success (Gilbert & Gugler 90), there is an increasing recognition that the
inevitable growth of the cities and the solution to over-urbanization
resulting problems should depend heavily on an effective system of
urban management. The mere idea is that effective urban management
system should have an expanded capacity to adopt successful policies
and tools that achieve its objectives and exclude failure ones. According
to Cheema, urban management capacity is the fiscal and administrative
capabilities of different urban authorities to handle urban matters;
performance standards, sensitivity to response to urban problems and
community needs, and the effectiveness of employed policies and
techniques to accomplish their objectives determine the management
capacity of urban bodies and levels of government (Cheema 1993).

Obviously, the fundamental objective of urban management system is to
upgrade living standards of the communities’ citizens through efficient
planning, coordination, and better employment of available resources.
The process of urban management comprises different levels of
activities and degrees of involvement in decision making by concerned
parties. The scale and nature of urban jobs and activities, encompassed
by this definition, vary from strategic planning and policy formulation to
management-and-operational control and transactional activities. Within
this definition notion, citizens play the role of customers who purchase
urban products that may vary in their nature (i.e. utilities and
infrastructure projects, garbage collection service, ....etc.) and in their
levels of complexity. (i.e. lowering levels of environmental pollution,
enhancing recycling awareness ....etc.). Moreover, playing consumers
of any physical or service products, customers usually expect high
degree of satisfaction with their commodities. Effective urban
management, consequently, should seek producing good urban
products that shall meet customers’ needs and satisfaction.

For the purpose of this paper, only urban management activities on the
local levels are discussed and reviewed. Urban management, whenever
mentioned, means only those types of activities and policies that are
implemented by local authorities and agencies.

Without great exertion, and within the previously mentioned scope of
urban management, the capacity of urban management can be
investigated through two means: simple evaluative observation, and measuring the response of beneficiaries and their degree of satisfaction towards services supplied and environment maintained by different levels of government. However, these two means are unreliable if we don’t consider each case individually. While the standards of services’ quality vary from certain community to another (depending on variables such as income and education levels, social, culture, health awareness, political atmosphere, and the amenities expected by citizens from their governments) there are minimal standards that all involved parties, including citizens’ side, can agree upon and may typify urban management capacity. Within this previous concept perspective, citizens of some communities can describe their local government as “ineffective” because it fails to provide water main to their newly urbanized area where they migrated to long time ago, while citizens of other communities use the same term in describing their government because it fails to maintain high standards of environmental quality. Hence, defining ranges of priorities and setting forward standards of delivered services, sheltering definition and affordability, job opportunities, and social basic needs lists is a necessary pre-start step that should begun with before assessing management capacity of certain local authority.

Two major elements are found profoundly related to the capacities of urban management system: the state political ideology and the structure composition of urban management system. These two factors are discussed below:

1. The State Political Ideology and Correlation to Urban Management Capacity:

The state political ideology has a significant impact on policy mechanisms and, consequently, on the effectiveness of all administration aspects including those of urban management. On one hand it determines, to a great extent, the fiscal budget that is directed to finance service- and utilities provision projects according to its list of priorities. On the other hand, urban bias is significantly determined by ideology nature. It influences policy makers attitudes and perception towards the significance of equally promoting the productivity of cities and alleviating urban poverty (Sheema 1993). In LDCs, where the prevalence of democracy is likely to be humble, policies tend to give preferentiality to certain urban centers (where rich and political elites concentrate) to receive more services and modern utilities while other areas stay neglected and deprived due to their meager political weight and power.
The state pattern of expenditure shows this urban bias which is also enhanced by deficient tax system that underestimates the role of local taxes in achieving urban equity. While these privileged urban centers became focal attraction poles for urban-to-urban and rural-to-urban migrants, other urban areas continued to decline and deteriorate.

On the other hand, political ideology influences the structure of the institutional organs and nature- and -levels of authorities given to different levels of government. Comparison of centralized and federal types of governments have been previously addressed in many literature. However, within this research notion two major principle differences relate to urban management shall be addressed: first, the degree on which both types of government shall response to the community needs and, second, levels of performance effectiveness that can be achieved through different types of system government:

- **Sensitive response to the community needs:** federal type structure have always shown more sensitivity in responding to those needs as channels bind local problems and decision-makers are shorter and faster. Federal system government agenda also tends to concentrate on local problems and patronizing their citizens. Moreover, federal system government permits and maintains reasonable level of citizens interaction mechanism that ensures participation in decision-making process which, in turn, allows articulated and reliable solutions to the special community concerns.

- **Levels of performance effectiveness:** comparison of both systems' performance shows indicators of achieving intended development targets is more likely to occur in the federal system rather in the centralized system. Sharing burdens of responsibilities and problems between federal and local governments will allow federal government to focus on strategic planning, policy formulation, and achieving better levels of integration and coordination among players. This is reflected

---

1 Cairo, the capital of Egypt with an average population of 18 million residents (30% of the whole population consumes 80% of the national growth income. The power gained by businessmen and the political elites creates a distorted pattern of expenditure and urban inequality. This resulting in creating more jobs and services in Cairo much more than other areas which consequently, leads to a humongous, uncontrolled urban growth due rural to urban migration.
directly in a better and effective performance of all parties handling different urban matters.

Urban Management Structure and Correlation to Urban Management Capacity:
Well-designed administrative structure definitely results in good management products. While evidences of correlation of a structurally well-designed administration and efficient urban management exist, some observers argue that other socio-economic factors shall have greater impact on urban management effectiveness and capacities. (Hayat & Mohsen 1999). This opinion puts more emphasis on the importance of comprehending the strongly influential social, economic, and cultural local constraints to provide better quality services to communities. One prime characteristic of quality management is the ability to fully and efficiently utilize available resources and get reliable results.

The efficient structural design should acquire high levels of departmental interaction and coordination, strongly articulated procedures and orders, the ability to perform ongoing self-action correction, reduced levels of bureaucracy, overcoming challenges of limited budget and social constrains, ensures cost effectiveness and cost recovery and, finally, responses sensitively to fulfill customer's needs and ambitions.

The Egyptian framework:

Political anatomy
The debate over political reforms necessity for the heavily centralized Egyptian governmental system is endless. However, the harsh reality raises serious concerns regarding the probability of applying these political reforms especially with pressures of decline economy, social unrest. Political corruption, the absence of democracy and the decline role of public participation in decision-making are major obstacles hampering any attempt of political reform. This situation is also empowered by the desire of the businessmen and political elites to keep the current political ideology unchanged for their interest benefit.²

A typical political structure prototype of most developing countries is the Egyptian model. First, the prevalence of the heavily centralized type of government is the main feature that characterizes the state

² Attempts to move governmental agencies and ministries outside Cairo to Sadat city (110 K.M. from Cairo) since late 1970's have come to a dead end. Pressure practiced by businessmen and political elites was enormous. The result is increasingly uncontrollable high rate of urban growth and continuous urban bias.
administration system. Second, system rigidity and distorted distribution of political power of both citizens majority and rulers. A huge political gap already exists in the Egyptian political cultural system between the rulers and the ruled. Citizens’ lack of confidence and disappointment expresses a state of long and severe suffering and a dominant notion that the government does not work for their interests because simply they are poor and powerless. The government itself acts in a way that enhances this notion. While all the official speeches emphasize their interests in urban equity and targeting urban poor, attention of administrators towards urban problems and quality services and utilities provision are firstly directed to other sectors of the society that happen to possess wealth and political power.

The Egyptian System of Urban Management:

Egyptian urban environment possesses now all shortcomings and deficiencies of deteriorated urban environment. Urban management system, depending on its current capacities, is not able to cope with over urbanization problems. However, we can distinguish two major periods of different performances. First, period of effective performance when quality services provision and highly standards urban and public affairs management were the major theme of institutional urban management plans. This period extended from the beginning of the century to the late 1950’s. Administration domain was three major subdivisions:

- **Governorates (urban areas)** mainly cities of Cairo and Alexandria.
- **Mudorias (rural sectors)** headed by chief of police department.
- **Border areas (normally deserts)** followed ministry of defense.

These were three types of local government having the same authorities but different nature related to the geographical characteristics and location of their jurisdictions. Governorates contained several municipalities (**Baladlaat**—singular: **Bladiaa**) that were responsible on all transactional and operation control activities. Supervisory activities and decision-making processes were undertaken by tow bodies:

- **Board of Municipalities.** Consisted of elected members in addition to a number of appointed members (based on their posts). This board was headed by governorate chief of police department. The board was concerned with managing the municipalities affairs such as safety, health, education, agriculture, irrigation, roads. However, Boards of municipalities acquired no jurisdiction over the cities’ councils existed within the cities domains.
Cities' Councils consisted of a majority of elected members and a minority of appointed officials based on their posts and specialties. Their major duties were policy formulation and strategic planning for their cities, in addition to coordinating the top fiscal and financial matters with the state government.

Three major factors contributed to provide better performance of urban management in this period:

1- Low rate of urbanization. This allowed urban management bodies to focus on upgrading standards of services and infrastructures provided to their communities.

2- Sound level of authority distribution between state government and local governments.

3- Effective law enforcement. Guaranteed lower rates of urban code and urban ordinance violations.

4- Strong macro and micro-economy.

Second, period of urban environment decline accompanied with decline in the urban management system performance started from late 1950's up to now. In 1980, the Egyptian Government endorsed the first law of local management. Ever since the law has been under ongoing modification. The main purpose of the law was to establish institutional administration system at different levels to initially relates to the central government in Cairo. A new ministry was also added to the Egyptian cabinet (Ministry of Local Governance) to follow up the application of the new law. This ministry has been also a subject of change and even cancellation in some periods due to the continuous change of ideological believes of the regime rulers. Engineering and housing departments became responsible for all urban matters in cities' municipalities (that their name changed from Bladiaat to Ahyaa) among many other administrative departments. Different levels of authority and power were given to many ministries representative departments in all cities and, in many cases, to other institutional bodies that created enormous conflicts and contradicting decisions. Municipal engineer (who is usually a civil engineer and lacks experience with urban affairs and administrative issues) possesses no jurisdiction to take immediate actions to halt simplest ordinance’s violation. Instead, the ministry of interior (represented in its local police departments) became the sole entitled authority that can prevent the violations while occurring and can, by using necessary force, correct the delinquent actions taken by code
The power given to the ministry of interior and consequently, to its local police departments encouraged code and ordinance violations because corrections are neither implemented nor supervised by urban administration. In many cases, police department will not carry out needed correction actions due to “lack of resources”. No need to mention that connections and how much violator possess wealth and influence play a major role for not carrying out corrections actions. The consequences resulting in more violation.

The following is a review of four components of Egyptian urban management system found to be of greater impact on its capabilities to administer urban jobs at the local level: policy mechanism, structure and coordination, skills, and responsibilities and functions:

1- Policy mechanism
The existence of no articulated urban policy mechanism is one major root of management limited capacity problem. This is true for both mechanisms of routine day-to-day urban jobs and of strategic planning and policy formulation. Procedures are highly unstable and poorly described and documented. They are also subject to continuous change when officials and top managers change their posts. This is also coupled with no public participation in decision-making, a centralized urban authority and huge gap between local needs and authority response to those needs.

2- Structure and coordination
As Cheema states, the strength and structure efficiency of the institutions responsible for planning and implementing urban policies and programs determine, to a great extent, the effectiveness of these policies and programs. (Cheema 1993). The proliferation of the governmental and semi-governmental agencies has led to a substantial lack of coordination, interaction, and consistency by the concerned agencies. Sectoral authorities, for example, tend to provide infrastructure facilities without ensuring that local governments have the capacity to perform the needed adequate maintenance programs. It is due partially to the fragmentation of the institutional machinery that capital works programs have often not been effectively linked with operational policies such as local police forces are responsible for demolishing the non-permitted floors in newly built and out of foot-prints and set-back properties.

Housing and urban code violations were 277,884 violations through year 1999 at Alexandria Governorate Housing Department.)
services pricing and cost recovery. These contradictory decisions and conflicts over areas of influences resulting in ineffectiveness and incapacity to function, while important components of the urban institutional capacity in a country are horizontal and vertical coordination among the concerned agencies and bodies, it is found that low levels (and likely no levels) of coordination and interaction exist between different urban departments (horizontally) and state and local governments (vertically). This phenomena extends to contain all administration levels including those of higher ranks. Lack of coordination results in contradicting decisions, which are likely to be expensive and inefficient. Failure of concerned parties to interact has a significant detrimental impact on the principles of cost effectiveness and cost recovery and, consequently, limits the chances of fully utilization of the limited budgets devoted for different types of projects and services.

3-Skills
3-1-Human relations skills
In the Egyptian administration system, officials and employees will never see the citizens as a customers that should seek every possible mean to meet their needs and satisfaction, in fact, complains of bad and tough treatment by employees is a normal event in the Egyptian social life. This is one component of certain cultural heritage and social deficiencies generated from the colonial era that put rulers (represented in the governmental officials) in a higher rank than the ordinary citizen; personal contact with governmental employees, accordingly, will consider a plight by most of the citizens.

3-2- Technical skills
Lack of basic technical skills of urban management officials and employees represents another major root of the problem. It results from poor training, non-speciality, and non-proper education. This phenomenon characterizes most employees including those of higher ranks posts. It is also coupled with the existence of no motive for job perfection or job-skills upgrade. This is also encouraged by the existence of no effective means for monitoring employees' misconduct, and red tape and policy inadequacies in staff development. On the other hand, personnel practices are usually characterized by unequal

*It is a normal scene in all Egyptian cities that streets are being paved several times with very small time intervals as every utility provider do his own work with no coordination with other utilities providers managed by localities.
promotion opportunities to various civil services cadres, and political intervention and favoritisms in recruitment.

4- Responsibilities and Functions:
4-1 Bureaucracy, corruption, and red tape:
Because of the immense power of bureaucracy and red tape, time and efforts spent to take needed actions to deal with certain problems is humongous, resulting in unimaginable delay that makes the problem magnitude amplifies. Projects and services take decades to be implemented and their budgets remains untouched for years waiting to be spent.

Virtually, all administration systems have bureaucracies that are different in degree and power (Garcia-Zamor & Renu Khator 1996) Five different factors contribute to increase bureaucracy of the Egyptian urban management system:

- Government centralization: acquire more bureaucracy due to the integration in one rigid system.
- Communal and public sector: as all urban functions are performed by the Egyptian government, this situation increases its burden in light of its limited capabilities and limits its role to focus on management only.
- Unbalanced dispersal of armed forces political power: the armed forces is identified as a political power. This tends to increase bureaucracy due to this unbalanced power situation.
- Poor dynamic of official’s career positions in the administration structure.
- Long term-officials careers of public services.

Government’s attempts to reduce levels of bureaucracy have proven failure due to the intense concentration of the government and the fear of social unrest that may be increased due to the consequences of reform actions.

Corruption is widely spread in the institutional management system. Poor monitoring system and very low paid wages drive many officials to corrupt including those of higher rank posts. Bribing and under table money is a common act to go beyond laws with the existence of weak and “conditional” law enforcement. Housing and urban land provision crises empowering corruption and employees misconducts. These unorthodox means guarantee gaining more profits and privileges taking
advantages of the unpunished code and ordinance violations conducted by landlords of properties.

4-2 Data collection and management:
Governorates, townships, and infrastructure-supply agencies lack basic data of most of their cities and neighborhoods. This data is the cornerstone to provide services in efficient, organized, and non-contradicting manner. While it is understandable how collecting, managing and utilizing these data cost (through electronic base-media or hard copies media), especially with the very tide budget devoted for El-Ahyaa, some lower rank public officials don’t “want” to believe it is feasible than using trial-and-error method, which they apply on all working aspects such as providing new services, upgrading existing insufficient and obsolete services or simply paving a new road.

4-3 Fiscal capacity:
One of the most pressing problems in urban management is weakness of the financial capacity of urban local governments. Restricted taxing and revenue-raising actions by local government hamper attempts of promoting financial capacity. Lack of technical assistance and training to local officials, meager system of intergovernmental transfer and allocation of funds from central to local governments are also factors added to limit fiscal capacity of local government.

4-4 Management capacity:
Lack of clarity in the allocation of functions and responsibilities to agencies has also impeded the effective delivery of urban infrastructure and services. Responsibilities are often allocated to agencies without delineating mechanisms through which their assigned roles are to be coordinated with those of the existing agencies.

Transactional activities:
Performance within this category of activities represents the most apparent evidence of government limited management capacity. Weak performance categorizes managing such activities at all Egyptian cities. Throughout different city-size-distribution, such critical activities may change with city size. While small size cities may suffer more from the scarcity of skilled management and technical officials, (e.g. experienced zoning officers (Architects) and from the absence of routine management procedures and efficient budget
accounting systems, metropolitan Cairo and Alexandria experience immense difficulties of managing immense growth and development under strong population pressure.

Operational control:

6. Another incapability indicator of local authority to administer ordinary services is the obvious low hygienic standards of cities. Although many municipalities are provided with state-of-the-art cleaning machinery local management fails to meet the minimum standards of street hygienic levels. Moreover, very little official attention is paid to other health and life threatening hazardous such as disposing, transporting and handling hazardous materials. Many Local officials perceive that addressing such issues is a kind of luxury with the deteriorated conditions of the major services. Consequently, no precaution rules (even some exists by laws) are applied neither by health department nor by police. Residents threw their garbage in the streets in collection centers where it accumulates and left for days and sometimes more. The remainder is throw into informal dumpsites, outskirt vacant lots, and rivers. In some deprived and outskirts cities approximately 60 – 80 per cent of households receive running water, but for only a few hours every other day. Unpaid for water is around 70 per cent of the total supply. No sewage treatment occurs, and rain and sanitation pipes often join resulting in the mixture of sewage and storm runoff.

What Can LDC’s Do?
In this part of the paper we will attempt to answer two fundamental questions: Do LDC’s have a chance? and what can they do to expand

6In the 1980s, Cairo Regional Water Company designed a new water main to supply a part of the city poorly served by an old main. A typing error led to the use of piping of too low pressure. On inauguration it exploded. The Water Company has gone back to using the old main and provides substandard service.

Especially outside major urban centers and at the outskirts of big and medium-size cities.
their urban management capacities? To answer these questions two different facts should be primarily brought to light. First, employing imported copies of management western models by LDC’s will definitely lead to an evident failure as the existing political, social, and economical substantial differences will drain these models from their contents. Second, we should not expect, or aim to achieve, a drastic change in urban management capacities by employing locally-suited approaches and socio-economic reforms. Gradual advancement will suit LCD’s special conditions and ensures sustainable development.

It is comprehensible that we can’t separate attempts to improve one single aspect of a certain authority, through different sorts of reforms and policies, from improving the overall context of the country. Therefore, it is of a relative importance to set objectives of development within each country’s case and its tendency. The most important factor that may give hope for development chances in LDC’s is the existence of both public and political elites desire for improvement.

The following is a presentation of four major concepts which may be adopted by LDC’s countries to expand their urban management capacities at the local levels. These four measures are found to be targeting the most salient urban management weaknesses:

1- Developing a Reasonable Strategy and Action Plan:
Reviewing institutional management structures in many developing countries indicates a widening gap between planning bodies and direct responsibility for the actions that determine outcomes. It is normal that these bodies frequently create voluminous plans for urban development and environmental improvement that have little relevance to implementation. Action plans should set lower, more appropriate and flexible standards for development. Local agencies must become involved in the priority setting process and multi-sectoral capital budgeting to produce efficient urban operations. The capital budgeting process offers the only forum in which decision-makers can sit together and plan future development seriously.

2- Improving Urban Management Accountability:
In practical terms, urban management accountability means creating ‘feedback loops’ between the suppliers of urban “products” (policy makers, planners and managers…) and the end consumers (households, businesses, and industries). The
introduction of market based mechanisms: autonomy and competition into urban services (and management as well) can create such feedback loops. Competition represents the most important market-based strategy for promoting quality and increasing accountability in supplying urban services. This applies on all aspects starting from hiring low rank employees to contracting firms for modifying or solving master plan problems. Greater competition on managing demand can help the infrastructure sector break out of the "low-level equilibrium trap" that dominates urban management of services in much of developing countries. Low levels of services dampen willingness to pay and, in turn, reduce cost recovery and financial self-sufficiency. The competitions generated amongst services suppliers shall only benefiting the end consumers through providing reasonable and highly standard services and utilities. Within this model notion, bodies and agencies that provide services should be chosen on competitive bases taking all needed consideration to prevent market manipulation. The role of the urban managers and administrators should be only focusing on coordinating the process of services submittals and not to undertake physical works. Therefore, the concept of "coordination" should be clarified and enhanced in the conscious of urban managers and officials.

3- Enhancing Urban Management Autonomy

Autonomy can help combat vices. An autonomous institution has clearly defined levels of service, areas of operation- and jurisdiction, and independent financing mechanisms. These former characteristics make assessment of performance possible. An agency that performs well meets its institutional mandate within its financial and budgetary resources in addition to maintain highly services standards.

Increased urban managerial autonomy at the local level can also clarify and separate the supply and regulation of services. In LDCs, central government tends to act as a supplier and a regulator of services and projects in the mean time. In affect the government acts as "both gamekeeper and poacher" creating conflicts of interest. In the Egypt in 1989, the privatization of water supply revealed such a problem. Responsibility for the quality of water remained in the government's hands while private firms assumed responsibilities for its supply. After 1989,
the number of fine levied for illegal discharges and violations standards more than doubled.

4-Adopting Total Quality Evaluation and Correction Measurements Tools:
Continuous Performance Efficiency Evaluation (CPEE) and Action Correction Protocol (ACP) may be considered essential tools to promote managerial performance and quality services provision. On the other hand, it is important to promote the significance of these processes into the awareness of urban managers and employees. Assessing urban managers and employees credentials should heavily depends on how they are responding to performance modification depending on the outcomes resulted from employing end- product evaluation methods.

Conclusion:
Reviewing urban management performance of localities in LDC’s has identified political interference and a lack of efficient management autonomy as two of the chief sources of institutional urban management failure. Political pressure has forced utilities to set services prices below the cost of production which is justified by maintaining social stability, to appoint managers and employees with connections but poor technical or managerial, skills and to pad employment rolls in order to provide patronage. Governments also tend to act as a utility provider rather than a regulator.

It is evident now that linking insufficient funds to limited management capacities is no longer valid. Evaluating localities performances in LCD’s shows excessive expenditure in final cost of executed projects due to lack of coordination, and the poor quality of design and specifications. Providing quality utilities and services with less expenditure needs higher levels of horizontal and vertical coordination, better quality design and higher specifications even though all these seem to consume more funds. On the other hand, adopting effective system of monitoring projects execution and specification, in addition to enabling prompt law enforcement mechanism will definitely resulting in cutting costs and ensure quality services and infrastructure projects.

A set of four principles has emerged from practice and the literature to readdress these institutional failures and to expand urban management capacities at the local level:
Expanding Institutional urban management capacity at the Local...
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1-Set urban priorities by measuring, assessing and prioritizing urban needs and developing action plans.
2-Improve the provision of infrastructures and services by mainly implementing economic reforms (a market based model) that support autonomy, competition, and appropriate pricing and effective regulation.
3-Increase accountability through socio-political reforms that focus on decentralisation, participation, targeting poor people and social marketing.
4-Finance this work in such a way that it moves service provision towards market principles and away from patronage.
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